



# Capital City to the Sea Trails

CONNECTING PEOPLE AND PLACES



## Management

Management	Considerations
Local Government Management	Each jurisdiction that the network lies within will use their own form of management that works best for that community; has the potential for clashing opinions. Local government may or may not have equipment for trail maintenance already.
State Management	Responsibility is off of the local governments but you lose the ability to have local knowledge of the area in regards to the environment and future needs. State already has contract mechanisms in place and experience maintaining trails, such as the St. Marks Trail.
Federal Management	Potentially, part of the trail will be within the Apalachicola National Forest so this will allow a federal agency to be a managing partner. Decisions and management may be made without local considerations.
Community Service Organization/Citizens Group	Easy transition from the current CC2ST Trail Advocacy Group; better equipped for activities such as marketing and promotion, and organizing events. May not have consistent stream of funding and may not be able to maintain consistency when staff/members change.
Partner with another 501(c)3	Have mechanisms for obtaining funding and managing funds, however, sometimes the 501(c)3 and CC2ST may have different priorities, such as competing for funds.
Hybrid Option	This could be a citizen lead 501(c)3 group partnering with a governmental entity; has the benefits of previous management options but managing various entities and coordination becomes more complex.

**How do you think CC2ST should be managed?**